

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Lake Macquarie LEP	2004 and	Draft Lake Macquarie LEP	2013 – Morisset T	own Centre
Proposal Summary : The planning proposal seeks to rezone land by redistributing and rationalising residential and business zones to facilitate the orderly expansion of Morisset Town Centre.					
PP Number :	PP_2013_LAKEM_01	2_00	Dop File No :	13/13801	
roposal Details	3				
Date Planning Proposal Recei	<b>19-Aug-2013</b> ved :		LGA covered :	Lake Macqua	arie
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Lake Macqua	arie City Council
State Electorate	EXAKE MACQUARIE		Section of the Act	55 - Planning	l Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Detai	s				
Street :	43 - 45 Dora Street				
Suburb :	Morisset	City	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	e			
Street :	107-115 Dora Street				
Suburb :	Morisset	City	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	e			
Street :	6 – 14 Doyalson Street;				
Suburb :	Morisset	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	e			
Street :	5,6-14, 60, 61 Yambo Stree	et			
Suburb :	Morisset	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	e			
Street :	10-14 Wharf Street				
Suburb :	Morisset	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	e			
Street :	22-30 Newcastle Street				
Suburb :	Morisset	City :	Lake Macquarie	Postcode :	2264
Land Parcel :	Lot and DP as per cadastr	е			

# **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Paul Maher
Contact Number :	0249042719
Contact Email :	paul.maher@planning.nsw.gov.au

# **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Andrew Donald
Contact Number :	0249210416
Contact Email :	adonald@lakemac.nsw.gov.au

# **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

# Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.60	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Both
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	4,000.00	No of Jobs Created	121
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of	Yes		

If No, comment :

Conduct has been complied with :

Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

No

If Yes, comment :

# Supporting notes

Internal Supporting	As Lake Macquarie Standard Instrument LEP has been submitted to the Department under
Notes :	Section 68 and Council estimates that the planning proposal will be completed by
	February 2014, the report focuses on the Standard Instrument zones throughout the report
	for brevity. However, it is recommended that the Gateway require that the exhibition refer
	to amending both the existing and draft LEPs.
External Supporting	
Notes :	

### Adequacy Assessment

# Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The statement of objectives explains that the purpose of the Planning Proposal is to rationalise residential and business zones in Morisset town centre consistent with a local strategy.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The explanation of provisions is adequate as it outlines the parameters required to amend the existing and future Lake Macquarie LEPs.

LAKE MACQUARIE LEP 2004

The proposal involves rezoning:

### WESTERN

• 1.2 hectares from: Zone 2(2) Residential (Urban Living) to: Zone 3(1) Urban Centre (Core);

• 0.4 hectares from: Zone 2(2) Residential (Urban Living) to: Zone 3(2) Urban Centre (Support);

#### EASTERN

• 0.8 hectares from: Zone 3(1) Urban Centre (Core) to: Zone 3(2) Urban Centre (Support), and;

• 1.0 hectares from: Zone 3(2) Urban Centre (Support) to: Zone 2(2) Residential (Urban Living).

### Resulting in a:

- net increase of 0.4 hectares of land within Zone 3(1) Urban Centre (Core)
- net increase of 0.2 hectares of land within Zone 3(2) Urban Centre (Support)
- net decrease of 0.6 hectares of land within Zone 2(2) Residential (Urban Living)

### DRAFT LAKE MACQUARIE STANDARD INSTRUMENT LEP

Rezoning land in the town centre as follows;

#### WESTERN

- 1.2 hectares from: Zone R3 Medium Density Residential to: Zone B3 Commercial Core
- 0.4 hectares from: Zone R3 Medium Density Residential to: Zone B4 Mixed Use
  EASTERN
- 0.8 hectares from: Zone B3 Commercial Core to: Zone B4 Mixed Use, and
- 1 hectare from: Zone B4 Mixed Use to: Zone R3 Medium Density Residential

### Resulting in a;

- net increase of 0.4 hectares of land within Zone B3 Commercial Core.
- net increase of 0.2 hectares of land within Zone B4 Mixed Use
- net decrease of 0.6 hectares of land within Zone R3 Medium Density Residential

The proposed changes will require amendments to other standard instrument maps:

Draft LMLEP 2013 Standard Instrument – Minimum Lot Size Map (LSZ\_007)

It i wh pro- un un un stification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy beer b) S.117 directions identified t * May need the Director General Is the Director General's ag c) Consistent with Standard Ir	Om; B3 and B4 – 13m is noted that Counci- here the ground floo rovision is proposed ander review by the Da on agreed to by the Di by RPA : eral's agreement greement required? Monstrument (LEPs) Orce	il proposes to allow residential flat buildings in the B4 zone but only or is used for business or retail premises. This additional local I in the draft Lake Macquarie Standard Instrument and is currently repartment. irector General? No 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
wh pro- un un un un un un un un un un un un un	here the ground floo ovision is proposed inder review by the Da on agreed to by the Di by RPA : eral's agreement greement required? M instrument (LEPs) Orc	or is used for business or retail premises. This additional local I in the draft Lake Macquarie Standard Instrument and is currently bepartment. irector General? No 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
<ul> <li>a) Has Council's strategy been</li> <li>b) S.117 directions identified to</li> <li>* May need the Director General's age</li> <li>c) Consistent with Standard Ir</li> <li>d) Which SEPPs have the RP</li> <li>e) List any other matters that need to</li> </ul>	by RPA : eral's agreement greement required? N nstrument (LEPs) Orc	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
Is the Director General's as c) Consistent with Standard Ir d) Which SEPPs have the RP e) List any other matters that need to	by RPA : eral's agreement greement required? N nstrument (LEPs) Orc	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
<ul> <li>* May need the Director General's ages</li> <li>c) Consistent with Standard Ir</li> <li>d) Which SEPPs have the RP</li> <li>e) List any other matters that need to</li> </ul>	greement required? N	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
* May need the Director Gene Is the Director General's ag c) Consistent with Standard Ir d) Which SEPPs have the RP e) List any other matters that need to	greement required? N	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Yes der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
c) Consistent with Standard Ir d) Which SEPPs have the RP e) List any other matters that need to	nstrument (LEPs) Ord	der 2006 : Yes SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
d) Which SEPPs have the RP e) List any other matters that need to		SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
e) List any other matters that need to	PA identified?	
matters that need to		SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
		7
Have inconsistencies with iter	ms a), b) and d) being	g adequately justified? <b>No</b>
If No, explain :	S117 DIRECTIONS	
		ess and Industrial Zones – The proposal is inconsistent with oes not retain all areas of the existing business zone.
	Morisset Structure F which give consider prepared in respons	pared in accordance with a local study [subclause (5)(b)], i.e. Plan (MSP) and Morisset Town Centre Area Plan 2010 (MTCAP), ration to the objectives of this Direction. The local studies were se to the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy 2006 which identified erging major regional centre'.
	The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance because although it does not retain the location it does not result in a loss of business zoned land. However, as the planning proposal seeks to alter business zoned land, it is necessary to request the Director General's agreement to the inconsistency. 3.1 Residential Zones – The proposal is inconsistent with subclause (4)(a) as it will restrict and not broaden the choice of building types. It reduces residential land by 0.6 hectares where the residential building types are limited to those permissible in the B3 and B4 zones.	
	dwellings. The rema	residential lots to be rezoned and of these, 9 contain existing aining 4 lots currently accommodate a Catholic primary school and hese lots will retain dwelling entitlements through existing use

9 G.

1

# Lake Macquarie LEP 2004 and Draft Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 – Morisset Town Centre release. The MSP specifies a Low Growth scenario that caters for 800-1100 dwellings by 2028. Therefore the loss of 13 lots or 9 dwellings within the town centre is considered to be of minor significance. The Director General's agreement to the inconsistency with Direction 3.1 is sought in this instance. 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land – the site is a proclaimed Mine Subsidence District and therefore it is necessary to consult with the Mine Subsidence Board. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes The maps provided are adequate for community consultation. Comment : Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Council has proposed a 28 day consultation period. It is considered that this Comment : consultation period is appropriate as the planning proposal may result in some community response regarding the residential land adjoining the proposed B4 land. **Additional Director General's requirements** Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes If Yes, reasons : **PROJECT TIMELINE** Council's timeline nominates the Planning Proposal's completion by the end of February 2014, approximately seven (7) months after the Gateway Determination. A nine (9) month completion timeframe is recommended so as to provide an adequate buffer should unexpected delays occur. **DELEGATION AUTHORISATION** Council also requested the use of delegations in respect of the Minister for Planning & Infrastructure's plan making function under s59 of the EP&A Act for this planning proposal. Delegation is recommended in this instance. Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : November 2013 The draft comprehensive Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 was exhibited from 21 November until Comments in 21 December 2012. Council have submitted the document to the Department under section relation to Principal LEP : 68, and it is expected to be completed later in 2013. Assessment Criteria Need for planning The planning proposal is considered necessary to realise the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy aspiration for Morisset to become a major regional centre. The key landowner on proposal : the Western site, has indicated to Council it is seeking a larger, constraint-free site in

area.

proximity to the town so that it might expand and offer a secondary school facility to the

A planning proposal is considered the most effective and timely method available to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes of the proposal.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

### LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY 2006 (LHRS)

Morisset is identified in the Regional Strategy as having key functions involving concentration of business, higher order retailing, employment and civic functions and facilities.

The Regional Strategy projects a total of 36,000 new dwellings in Lake Macquarie of which Morisset is to accommodate 600 dwellings along with 1600 new jobs. The planning proposal undertakes to fulfil these targets by facilitating the orderly expansion of the town centre.

### LIFESTYLE 2030 STRATEGY

Lifestyle 2020 identifies Morisset within its Centres hierarchy consistent with the Regional Strategy.

The strategy encourages large scale development within the core and in doing so will generate employment in proximity to a major railway station.

Morisset is the focal point of the Morisset to Cooranbong growth and expansion corridor which is situated parallel the M1 Pacific Motorway (formerly F3 Freeway). The aim of the corridor is to increase retail and commercial development to support future additional population. The moderate addition of business zoned land [0.6 hectares] will assist in achieving this aim.

### MORISSET STRUCTURE PLAN 2008 (MSP)

The MSP acknowledges that the town centre is adequate for existing conditions but does not allow for its future role as stated in the Regional Strategy. It is anticipated that the town may require a large footprint site for a discount department store which is not to be found in the existing subdivision pattern. The land identified for B3 and B4 is identified as a 'possible expansion area' in the MSP.

### MORISSET TOWN CENTRE AREA PLAN 2010 (MTCAP)

The MTCAP identifies the Western site as a 'potential business zone' - see Figure 5 in the Planning Proposal. The MTCAP also states that if a development proposal for a large format commercial structure can not be accommodated in the existing commercial zone then the 'potential commercial area fronting Doyalson Street (currently the church and school) should be subject to further investigation'.

MTCAP shows the subject Eastern site between Bridge and Wharf streets as urban core and urban support and allowing ground floor residential. However, further analysis by Council determined the topography is unsuitable for retail.

Environmental social economic impacts :

#### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

The subject site has been under urban use for sometime and does not contain significant ecological values.

SOCIAL IMPACTS:

Generally the planning proposal will contribute social benefits to the community by providing opportunities for the town centre to function more as a regional centre. On a micro level, the owners of land to be zoned from B4 to R3 may have concerns relating to reduced property prices. However, Council maintain that property prices are not adversely

affected by being converted to a residential zone. It is noted that dwelling entitlement will remain with the properties under existing use rights.

There are potential impacts on the residents adjoining to the west of the additional B3 and B4 land. There is no current development application to develop the church and school site nor has there been any direct inquiries for some years. Council indicates it is likely that the church and school will remain on the site for considerable time until Morisset reaches a critical mass capable of sustaining a higher order retail facility. Any impacts on adjoining residents can be assessed through a future development application.

WESTERN SITE: Church and school relocation:

Rezoning the church and school site will not result in the loss of the school to the community and subsequent adverse social impacts because the intention is to relocate within Morisset. Relocation is considered acceptable to the school as it is experiencing parking and traffic conflict between their own operation and the newly constructed Woolworths supermarket across the road.

The church and school seek to find a larger, greenfield site in proximity to the town that will allow an expanded campus to offer a secondary school if it should be required.

EASTERN SITE: Bridge and Wharf streets:

Prior to 2004 the Eastern subject site between Bridge and Wharf streets was zoned 2(a) Residential. Since 2004 the properties have been zoned 3(2) Urban Centre Support. There is no evidence over this time of development pressure for anything other than residential development types on these properties.

#### ECONOMIC IMPACTS:

There are positive economic impacts in providing a large format site within the town centre and this is documented in Council's local strategies (MSP and MTCAP). The Regional Strategy identifies the need and potential for Morisset to grow and provide higher order services and facilities. There is evidence of this potential already being realised through the construction of two new supermarkets in Morisset in the last 5 years. Despite the increase in retail service, Council claims that escape spending continues to go to surrounding higher order centres. Making a larger footprint site available within walking distance to the Morisset Train Station will provide a platform for Morisset to grow and will potentially contain more local expenditure.

### TRANSPORT:

The Western site fronts Dora Street which is a classified road. It is recommended that the Roads and Maritime Services be consulted in this matter.

### Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Mine Subsidence Board Transport for NSW - Roads and	d Maritime Services	

Lake Macquarie LEP 200	04 and Draft Lake Ma	cquarie LEP 2013 – Morisset Tow	n Centre
Is Public Hearing by the F	PAC required? N	0	
(2)(a) Should the matter p	proceed ? Ye	es	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)	) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional stu	idies, if required.		
If Other, provide reasons	3		
Identify any internal const	ultations, if required :		
No internal consultation	required		
Is the provision and fundi	ing of state infrastructure re	elevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :		State Government levy is not required as	the proposal relates to
Documents			
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
			Yes Yes ter Yes
Planning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at th	nis stage : Recommended with Condition	ns
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Indus 1.3 Mining, Petroleum F 2.3 Heritage Conservati 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Us 4.2 Mine Subsidence ar 5.1 Implementation of F	Production and Extractive Industries ion se and Transport nd Unstable Land	
Additional Information :	<ul> <li>Additional Information :</li> <li>1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&amp;A Act") as follows: <ul> <li>(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and</li> <li>(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).</li> <li>2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&amp;A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:</li> <li>Roads and Maritime Services</li> <li>Mine Subsidence Board (S117 Direction 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land) Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal.</li> <li>A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&amp;A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

Lake Macquarie LEP 2004 and Draft Lake Macquarie LEP 2013 – Morisset Town Centre		
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	<ol> <li>Place on exhibition all lot and deposited plan numbers affected by the planning proposal.</li> </ol>	
	2. The planning proposal fulfils the intent and purpose of the Regional Strategy's identification of Morisset as an emerging major regional centre.	
Signature:	KHER	
Printed Name:	KOYLAHERTY Date: 29-8-13	

×.